

Home Loans, Inc. (“Embrace”) was the lender and a servicer for the mortgage loan of the Plaintiffs at the time relating to the factual allegations herein.

Pursuant to the Deed of Trust, Defendant RoundPoint held funds in an escrow account to pay property taxes, mortgage insurance and homeowner's insurance premiums in relation to the subject property. Defendants RoundPoint and Embrace determined the amount of the monthly escrow payment on an annual basis, and Plaintiffs have made all payments due pursuant to the mortgage loan and Deed of Trust in a full and timely fashion.

On January 8, 2014, Plaintiffs obtained automatically renewable homeowners insurance coverage from State Farm Fire And Casualty Company ("State Farm") on the replacement value of the dwelling, outbuilding and contents located at the subject property, showing that Defendant Embrace was an additional insured and that the bills would be sent to Lender. Plaintiffs have not cancelled their homeowners coverage through State Farm at any time since 2014.

In January of 2015, Defendant RoundPoint began paying the homeowners insurance premium to State Farm on an annual basis. Defendants included said payment in their annual calculation of the escrow payment. Defendants were billed for the homeowner's policy period January 15, 2016-January 15, 2017, and in fact paid that premium to State Farm.

State Farm billed Defendants for this insurance in November 2016 at the same address as the year before. The date due for the payment of the insurance premium was January 15, 2017. Although Defendants were aware of the due date, Defendants failed to pay the insurance premium until February 24, 2017.

On February 17, 2017, there was a fire at the subject property, resulting in damage to the building and some of the contents of the building. Plaintiffs reported this loss to their State Farm agent. State Farm advised the Plaintiffs that the policy was effectively cancelled for non-payment. After the fire, Plaintiffs discovered that Defendants had failed to pay the annual premium that was due on January 15, 2017.

Defendants' failure to pay the insurance premium for the subject property violates 12 U.S.C. § 2605(g) and 12 CFR § 1024.34. Additionally, Defendants failed to rectify this situation in response to the Notice of Error submitted on July 27, 2017.

Plaintiffs' actual damages are recoverable from Defendants pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 2605(f)(1)(A) as well as additional damages under 12 U.S.C. § 2605(f)(1)(B).

Plaintiffs are entitled to the costs of this action plus reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 2605(f)(3).

2. **Defendants' Theory of the Case:** Defendants RoundPoint and Embrace (collectively, the "Defendants") state that the Plaintiffs have failed to assert a cause of action against them, and as such, Defendants filed a **Motion to Dismiss** and Brief in support thereof on **March 12, 2018**. Among other things, the Defendants assert that (1) the Plaintiffs failed to allege sufficient facts whereby this Court could conclude that the Defendants were in possession of necessary information (i.e., the Renewal Insurance Certificate) in order to pay Plaintiffs' renewal insurance premium and comply with their RESPA duties under 12 U.S.C. § 2605(g); (2) Plaintiffs' breached duties in their Deed of Trust by not forwarding insurance policy renewal information (of which they were in possession) so that Defendants could comply with their RESPA duties under 12 U.S.C. § 2605(g); and (3) Plaintiffs' claim under 12 U.S.C. § 2605(e) fails because the Notice

of Error (“NOE”) was sent *after* the fire, and thus, any noncompliance on the part of the Defendants could not be attributable to the NOE. Simply put, the Defendants cannot be held liable for failing to pay an insurance premium renewal when they did not have sufficient information in order to make the payment.

Defendants further deny that they paid prior insurance policy renewals. Rather, these renewals were paid by a prior servicer and that prior servicer was sent the renewal in question. The prior servicer did not forward any insurance renewal information to the Defendants. Further, on multiple occasions, Defendants contacted the Plaintiffs and their insurance agent in order to get the mortgagee clause updated on the insurance policy as well as to obtain a copy of any renewal but neither the Plaintiffs nor their insurance agent responded to the Defendants until *after* the fire.

C. ISSUES RESOLVED: Jurisdiction and venue.

D. ISSUES STILL IN DISPUTE: Liability and damages.

E. INITIAL DISCLOSURES: The parties shall exchange initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before **May 4, 2018**.

F. CASE RESOLUTION PLAN AND JOINT STATUS REPORTS: The parties are encouraged to consider the Alternative Dispute Resolution options provided in Local Rule 16.02 through 16.07. Accordingly, the parties propose to conduct a non-binding mediation of their dispute on or before **August 17, 2018** in order to permit time for a ruling on the pending Motion to Dismiss, permit Plaintiffs to add any new or additional parties to the litigation if such is necessary, and to allow the parties sufficient time to conduct initial fact discovery in the matter to maximize the possibility of successful resolution at the mediation. The parties also agree that approximately fourteen (14) days following the conclusion of factual discovery, or on or before **November 9, 2018**, that they will submit a joint report confirming that the parties made a good

faith attempt to resolve the case as well as any additional ADR that they believe at the time might assist them in resolving their dispute. If a judicial settlement conference is requested in either joint report or separately, the parties shall also state (i) the reasons why mediation is not feasible; (ii) their proposed timing for scheduling of the settlement conference; and (iii) any preference of a particular Magistrate Judge to conduct the settlement conference.

G. DISCOVERY: The parties shall complete all written discovery and depose all fact witnesses on or before **October 26, 2018**. Written discovery should proceed promptly (unless otherwise provided for herein) and shall be served by no later than **September 7, 2018**. Discovery is not stayed during dispositive or other motions, unless ordered by the Court. No discovery disputes may be brought to the Court for resolution before lead counsel for all parties has conducted an in-person meeting and made a good faith effort to resolve any dispute(s). Discovery disputes that cannot be resolved after the in-person meeting should be brought promptly to the attention of the Magistrate Judge by a request for a discovery conference. It will be within the Magistrate Judge's discretion whether to allow for the filing of discovery- related motions. All discovery motions shall be filed by no later than **October 31, 2018**.

In connection with any discovery conference or discovery motion, the parties shall file a joint discovery dispute statement, which describes the specific discovery request(s) in dispute and details each party's position with supporting fact and legal authorities. The joint discovery dispute statement shall certify that lead counsel for all parties conducted the aforementioned in-person meeting and made a good faith effort to resolve each discovery dispute presented in the statement. If the joint statement is sufficiently detailed, any party may adopt by reference the joint statement for purposes of Local Rule 7.01, but must clearly state in the filing made in accordance with the

timing requirements of Local Rule 7.01 that the joint statement is adopted as the party's memorandum of law or response.

H. MOTIONS TO AMEND OR TO ADD PARTIES: Any motions to amend or to add parties shall be filed by no later than **July 16, 2018**. Any motion to amend must be accompanied by the proposed amended pleading, which shall be appended as an exhibit to the motion. Prior to filing the motion to amend, counsel for the moving party shall discuss the proposed amendment with all other counsel and shall state in the motion to amend whether or not the motion is opposed.

I. DISCLOSURE AND DEPOSITIONS OF EXPERTS: The plaintiff shall identify and disclose all expert witnesses and expert reports on or before **November 16, 2018**. The defendant shall identify and disclose all expert witnesses and reports on or before **December 7, 2018**. Rebuttal experts shall be permitted only by leave of court. Unless otherwise provided for in a separate pretrial order, supplemental expert disclosures, which specifically include, but are not limited to, any supplemental information to expert reports, must be made in accordance with Rule 26(a) and (e). Supplemental expert opinions or other expert disclosures not timely disclosed may be excluded at trial. *See* Local Rule 39.01(c)(6.d). Expert depositions shall be completed by **December 21, 2018**.

J. SUBSEQUENT CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. A subsequent case management conference shall be held telephonically on **September 13, 2018**, at 9:30 a.m., to address: status of discovery (including any known or anticipated discovery issues or disputes); prospect for settlement (including propriety of ADR); and, any other appropriate matters. The parties shall call (888) 557-8511 and enter access code 7819165# to participate.

K. DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS: As provided above, the parties must attempt to resolve the case prior to the filing of dispositive motions. Dispositive motions shall be filed by no later than **January 18, 2019**. Responses to dispositive motions shall be filed within 28 days after the filing of the motion. Briefs or memoranda of law in support of or in opposition to a dispositive motion shall not exceed 25 pages. Optional replies may be filed within 14 days after the filing of the response and shall not exceed 5 pages. No motion for partial summary judgment shall be filed except by permission of the Court. Any party wishing to file such a motion shall first file a separate motion that gives the justification for filing a partial summary judgment motion in terms of overall economy of time and expense for the parties, counsel, and the Court.

L. ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY. The parties have reached an agreement on how to conduct electronic discovery. Administrative Order No.174 therefore need not apply to this case. In the absence of an agreement, the default standards of Administrative Order No. 174 will apply. Any agreement between the parties to address the topics provided by Administrative Order No. 174 must be reduced to writing, signed by counsel, and either filed as a stipulation of agreed-upon electronic discovery procedures, or, if the parties request court approval, submitted as proposed agreed order with an accompanying motion for approval.

M. MODIFICATION OF CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER. Any motion to modify the case management order or any case management deadline shall be filed at least seven (7) days in advance of the earliest impacted deadline. Unless a joint motion, the motion for modification must include a statement confirming that counsel for the moving party has discussed the requested modification or extension with opposing counsel and whether or not there is any objection to the requested modification or extension. The motion for modification must also include: (i) the trial date and all deadlines, even unaffected deadlines, so that it will not be

necessary for the Court to review one or more previous case management orders in consideration of the motion and (ii) a statement that the requested extension will still conform to the requirements of Local Rule 16.01(d)(2.f) that no dispositive motion deadline, including response and reply briefs, shall be later than 90 days in advance of the trial date. Motions for extensions should also detail the moving party's efforts at diligently complying with the originally schedule deadline and the facts demonstrating good cause for modification of the deadline as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4).

N. REQUESTS TO SEAL DOCUMENTS OR PORTIONS OF DOCUMENTS.

Any party requesting that documents or portions of documents be sealed, including without limitation for use as exhibits at trial, must file a motion to seal in accordance with Section 5.07 of Administrative Order No. 167 (Administrative Practices and Procedures for Electronic Case Filing) and Local Rule 7.01, which demonstrates compelling reasons to seal the documents and that the sealing is narrowly tailored to those reasons. The motion to seal, **even if unopposed**, must specifically analyze in detail, document by document, the propriety of secrecy, providing factual support and legal citations. Generally, only trade secrets, information covered by a recognized privilege (such as the attorney-client privilege), and information required by statute to be maintained in confidence is typically enough to overcome the presumption of public access. Failure to comply with these procedures or to provide sufficiently compelling reasons may result in denial of the request to seal documents or portions of documents. Protective orders should not provide that documents produced in discovery and designated as "confidential" will be automatically sealed upon filing or if used at trial. Any such language in proposed protective orders will be stricken and may result in denial of the motion for entry of the proposed protective order.

O. ESTIMATED TRIAL TIME AND TARGET TRIAL DATE: The jury trial of this action is expected to last approximately two (2) days. A trial date no earlier than **April 22, 2019** is respectfully requested.¹ The target trial date is July 9, 2019.

It is so **ORDERED**.


ALISTAIRE NEWBERN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ The parties were also reminded during the case management conference of their option to consent to final disposition by the Magistrate Judge pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and Local Rule 73.01. As discussed, if the parties wish to utilize this option, they may jointly complete and electronically file the form Notice, Consent and Reference of a Civil Action to a Magistrate Judge found on the Court's website under the link for Forms. Not consenting will not result in any adverse consequences, and the Notice should be filed only if all parties consent to final disposition by the Magistrate Judge.

APPROVED FOR ENTRY:

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

/s/ Patrick Barrett

Patrick Barrett, Esq.

TN Bar # 020394

Primary: pbarrett@barrettlawofficetn.com

Barrett Law Office, PLLC

4205 Hillsboro Pike, Suite 303

Nashville, TN 37215

/s/ Henry Todd

Henry Todd, Esq.

TN Bar # 005574

TODD & SPENCER

404 East College Street, Suite 1

Dickson, TN 37055

henrytoddjr@bellsouth.com

*Attorneys for Ricky Matlock and Bridget
Matlock*

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANTS

/s/ Shaun K. Ramey

Shaun K. Ramey, Esquire

TN Bar # 035574

Primary: shaun.ramey@arlaw.com

Secondary: angela.edwards@arlaw.com

ADAMS AND REESE LLP

424 Church Street, Suite 2700

Nashville, TN 37219

*Attorney for RoundPoint Mortgage Servicing
Corporation and Embrace Home Loans, Inc.*